RECEIVED

AO 400-LAKE SHAPUER Detention Pending Trial

JUL 0 2005 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
RO	BERT H. SHEMWELL CSERNI		District of _	Louisiana	
WEST	UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA			
	V.		ORE	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	KEDRICK LAMONT	GAMBLE		mber: 2:05CR 20145-01	
	Defendant				
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
(1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.				
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
*					
	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
	(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the				
	for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the				
☐ (*)	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
Alternative Findings (A)					
$\mathbf{X}^{-(1)}$					
	X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 841 et seq. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).				
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
Alternative Findings (B)					
(1) (2)					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention					
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence \(\sigma\) a prepon-					
derance of the evidence that					
no combination of conditions, short of detention, will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of others. This					
conclusion is based primarily on the following: (1) the nature of the charges and the potential penalties; (2) defendant's record including two failures to appear; (3) defendant's history of substance abuse; & (4) the fact of the presumption noted above even if rebutted by production.					
(5) weight of the evidence yourst defendant					
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention					
to the erreasonal	xtent practicable, from persor ble opportunity for private co	custody of the Attorney ns awaiting or serving s nsultation with defense the corrections facility s	General or his designate tentences or being held counsel. On order of a	d representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the int to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
Date Signature of Judicial Officer					
Alonzo P. Wilson, U.S. Magistrate Judge					
	•			Name and Title of Judicial Officer	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).